

DO/ EO WORKSHEET

U.S. Appl. No.

10/511256

International Appl. No.

GB03/D1598

Application filed by : 20 months 30 months

WIPO PUBLICATION INFORMATION :

Publication No.: WO /

Publication Language : English Japanese
 German French Other : _____

Screening Done by :

Publication Date : 30 Oct 03

Not Published : U.S. only designated EP request

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PAPERS IN THE APPLICATION FILE :

International Application (RECORD COPY)
 Article 19 Amendments
 PCT/IB/331
 PCT/IPEA/409 IPER (PCT/IPEA/416 on front)
 Annexes to 409
 Priority Document (s) No. 2

International Appl. on Double Sided Paper (COPIES MADE)
 Request form PCT/RO/101
 PCT/ISA/210 - Search Report
 Search Report References
 Other : _____

RECEIPTS FROM THE APPLICANT (other than checked above) :

Basic National Fee (or authorization to charge)
 Description
 Claims
 Words in the Drawing Figure(s) - (# of dwgs. 3)
 Article 19 Amendments
 english transl. of annexes NOT present
 entered not entered :
 not a page for page substitution
 other : _____
 Annexes to 409
 english transl. of annexes NOT present
 entered not entered :
 not a page for page substitution
 other : _____

Preliminary Amendment(s) Filed on : 1. 9 Oct 04 2. _____ 3. _____
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) Filed on : 1. 25 Jun 05 2. _____ 3. _____
 Assignment Document
 Power of Attorney/ Change of Address
 Substitute Specification Filed on : 1. _____ 2. _____
 Small Entity
 Oath/ Declaration (executed)
 surcharge was paid at the time of filing
 DNA Diskette Sequence Listing
 Other : 1. _____ 2. _____

NOTES : I.A. used as Specification Other :

35 U.S.C. 371 - Receipt of Request (PTO-1390)

9 Oct 04

Date Acceptable Oath/ Declaration Received

Date of Completion of requirements under 35 U.S.C. 371

102(e) Date

Date of Completion of DO/ EO 903 - Notification of Acceptance

Date of Completion of DO/ EO 905 - Notification of Missing Requirements

22 Sept 05

Date of Completion of DO/ EO 906 - Notification of Missing 102(e) Requirements

Date of Completion of DO/ EO 907 - Notification of Acceptance for 102(e) Date

Date of Completion of DO/ EO 909 - Notification of Abandonment

Date of Completion of DO/ EO 911 - Application Accepted Under 35 U.S.C. 111

Date of Completion of DO/ EO 916 - Notification of Defective Response

Date of Completion of DO/ EO 920 - Notification to Comply w/ Seq. Requirements

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/456,042	BONNER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gailene R. Gabel	1641	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

• F

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 December 1999.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claims 1-46 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) All b) Some * c) None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:
1. received.
2. received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number) ____.
3. received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

14) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 17) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
15) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 18) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
16) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____. 19) Other: _____

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-13 and 34-46, drawn to laser capture microdissection process wherein an activatable layer is caused to volumetrically expand, classified in class 435, subclass 30, for example.
 - II. Claims 14-15, drawn to drawn to laser capture microdissection process wherein an activatable layer is caused to differentially, volumetrically expand, classified in class 436, subclass 63.
 - III. Claims 16-28, drawn to apparatus for non-contact laser capture microdissection, classified in class 422, subclass 101, for example.
 - IV. Claims 29-33, drawn to process of making a surface for non-contact laser microdissection, classified in class 436, subclass, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, Invention I has separate utility wherein the laser capture microdissection process is used for capturing biomaterials into microbeads for use in solid phase assays. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 1641

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus can be used with an optical tweezer wherein microbeads with an activatable layer for capture of biomaterials are transferred between microwells in a microtiter plate in solid phase assays.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, and different effects in that invention I requires a single step laser activation of a layer to volumetrically expand the layer to locally contact a portion of a target specimen and Invention IV requires providing a support substrate and an activatable surface disposed thereto to prepare a selectively activatable surface for use in laser microdissection.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Art Unit: 1641

806.05(e)). In this case, the laser capture microdissection process of invention II can be performed using manual “needle” microdissections.

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, and different effects in that invention II requires a multiple laser activation of portions of a layer to volumetrically expand the portions to locally contact portion of a target specimen and Invention IV requires providing a support substrate and an activatable surface disposed thereto to prepare a selectively activatable surface for use in laser microdissection.

Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process of making the surface can be used to make a solid phase, such as a microbead, for capture of specific target biomaterials for transfer between microwells in solid phase assays.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Furthermore, because the search

nit: 1641

required for Group I is not required for Group II, and the search for Group III is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Literature search for each method and apparatus is distinct since the structural requirements of each invention are different. While searches would be expected to overlap, there is no reason to expect the searches to be coextensive.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gailene R. Gabel whose telephone number is (703) 305-0807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (703) 308-3399. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1641

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.



Gailene R. Gabel
March 21, 2001



CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800-1641